

DETAILED ACTION
Response to Amendment

The Examiner stated that claims 1-12, 14, 16-20 and 22-26 are pending in this application, claims 1, 17, and 22 are independent claims and that 1, 17, and 22 were amended.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The Examiner stated that claims 1-12, 14, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Screen Dumps of Windows Media Player 9 used on Windows XP (“Windows”) in view of Ludwig et al. (US 7185054).

The Examiner stated that claims 17-20, 22-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodgers et al. (“Rodgers”, US PG PUB # 2002/0026478 A1) in view of Ludwig et al. (US 7185054).

Although Applicant does not believe that Windows, Ludwig, and Rodgers, alone or in combination, teach or suggest the limitations of independent claims 1, 17, and 22 as previously described in the prior submitted Office Action responses, purely in the interest of expediting the prosecution of the instant invention, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 17, and 22 to include, in various forms, the following limitations: wherein a local user is able to maximize the taskbar region and use most of the local user display region on tasks related to session control and document previews that are not visible or accessible to a remote user and wherein the remote user is able to use most of the remote user viewer display to view contents of a remaining portion of the local user display region. As such, each benefits from having the majority of their display utilized

for the task of most interest. This provides larger displays, improved communication, and reduced fatigue and eyestrain. Support for this limitation can be found in paragraphs 38.

Applicant does not believe that the following limitations are taught or suggested by Windows, Ludwig, and Rogers:

1. A local user is able to maximize the taskbar region;
2. The local user is able to use most of the local user display region on tasks related to session control and document previews that are not visible or accessible to a remote user;
3. The remote user is able to use most of the remote user viewer display to view contents of a remaining portion of the local user display region; and
4. The resulting effect of the local user and the remote user each benefitting from having the majority of their display utilized for the task of most interest thus providing larger displays, improved communication, and reduced fatigue and eyestrain.

As such, Applicant respectfully believes that these claims, as well as the claims that depend from them, are in condition for allowance and respectfully request they be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

WEST CORPORATION

Date: September 17, 2008

By: /Raffi Gostanian/
Raffi Gostanian
Reg. No. 42,595
Tel: (972) 849-1310

11808 Miracle Hills Drive
Omaha, Nebraska 68154
(402) 965-7077